







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES TATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,512	01/22/2001	William Vong	MS1-155USC3	3413
22801 7	7590 10/03/2002			
LEE & HAYES PLLC			EXAMINER	
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			SHIN, CHRISTOPHER B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2182	
		DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The

Application No. A

09/767,512

Applicant(s)

Vong et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

C Shin

Art Unit 2182



The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1	S SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM 136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication.	
Status	
1) 🗓 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan</u>	22, 2001
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ Th	his action is non-final.
	ance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>15-23</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a $oldsymbol{ol{ol}}}}}}}}}}}}}$
	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examine
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	
·	ts have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International *See the attached detailed Office action for a list	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for don	
a) The translation of the foreign language prov	
•	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/767,512

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Preliminary Amendment received January 22, 2001 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 15-23 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 15-17 and 21-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by French (5,760,690).

Claims 15-17, 21,22

French

- a portable handheld computing device
 - feature of figures 1-3

- a casing

- feature of figure 1-2
- a light emitting device mounted externally on the casing, the light emitting device being activated upon occurrence of an event to notify a user
 - feature of (16) of figure 1a & 1b
- light emitting device comprises an LED
 - feature of (16)
- the casing has an upper surface

\$

Application/Control Number: 09/767,512

Art Unit: 2182

- feature of figure 1 with side of (16)
- the light emitting device is mounted externally on the upper surface
 - feature of figure 1 with side of (16)
- the casing comprises a base and a lid
 - feature of figure 1
- button mounted to the casing to deactivate the light emitting device
 - feature of (12)
- the casing comprises a base and a lid, and further comprising a button mounted to the lid to deactivate the light emitting device
 - feature of figure 1

Since the French reference teaches all of the basic claimed limitations, the claimed invention would have been clearly anticipated by the teachings of the French reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 18-20 & 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French (5,760,690) in view of Hidaka (5,606,712).
 - i. The above detail teachings of the claim 15 are similarly applied.
- ii. The further dependent claims 18-20 & 23 further adds limitations related to specific components types that are very well known & commonly available in the art. One having ordinary skill in the art can easily add or choose a specific component type. More specifically, Hidaka reference teaches a very system that in the same environment of portable computer that manages data or processing data which utilizes specific types of components of the claims. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was mad to one having ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/767,512

Art Unit: 2182

skill in the art can easily & simply choose the readily available types of components, as taught by Hidaka and also well known in the art, and incorporate in the French system to come up with the invention.

iii. The examiner also takes official notice on such well known matters as discussed above (I.e., the limitations of the dependent claims in the art of portable computing).

6. Any Response To This Action Should Be Mailed To:

If The Action Is Non-Final

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

If The action is Final

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7238, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any Other Telephone Communication Should Be Directed To

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Shin whose telephone number is (703) 305-9658. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

Christopher B. Shin

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2182

Christopher B. Shin October 1, 2002

Muhyl